

SUITABILITY FACTORS

[5CFR 731.202 (b)]

Suitability is defined as identifiable character traits and conduct sufficient to determine whether an individual is likely or not likely to be able to carry out the duties of a Federal job with appropriate integrity, efficiency, and effectiveness.

SUITABILITY FACTORS	GENERAL APPLICATIONS/DISCUSSION
<p><u>(1) MISCONDUCT OR NEGLIGENCE IN EMPLOYMENT.</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Misconduct involves doing something wrong in the employer's which would estimation, while negligence is the failure to do something expected by the employer. * May or may not have resulted in dismissal. If dismissed, primary emphasis should be on the act or conduct which prompted the dismissal. For military misconduct, the nature of the conduct is the governing factor, rather than the type of discharge. * Includes: poor attendance without cause, insubordination, or other suitability issues that occur in employment, such as theft, etc. * Does not include performance (i.e., an inability to perform) or other qualification issues. * Misconduct or negligence in current Federal employment is not generally included unless it is part of a pattern of conduct. (Instead, 5 CFR 315 or 752 would normally apply for post-appointment misconduct issues.)
<p><u>(2) CRIMINAL or DISHONEST CONDUCT</u></p>	<p><u>Criminal Conduct:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Primary emphasis is on the nature of the criminal conduct, which may or may not have resulted in a conviction: details/reasons for dismissal of the offense must be considered; expungement of/pardon for an offense would not nullify the conduct, unless granted on the basis of the person's innocence. * Pending charges (of a nature that would potentially be disqualifying) cannot be adjudicated until case is disposed. <p><u>Dishonest Conduct:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Dishonest conduct includes deliberate lies, fraud, or deceit for personal benefit (e.g., theft, acceptance of a bribe, falsification of records, falsification of employment documents, deliberate financial irresponsibility with continuing, valid debts of a significant nature.)
<p><u>(3) MATERIAL INTENTIONAL FALSE STATEMENT or DECEPTION or FRAUD IN EXAMINATION OR APPOINTMENT</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * A "Material" statement (as used in the phrase "material, intentional false statement") is one that is capable of influencing, or has a natural tendency to affect an official decision. The test of materiality does not rest on whether the agency actually relied on the statement. * A deliberate attempt to withhold information, or furnish false information, that would have a material bearing on suitability or qualifications for employment, or gain the person an advantage over other applicants, which occurs during the examination, application, or appointment process. * Material false answers to questions on appointment documents concerning one or more recent, serious criminal offenses, employment termination's, etc., or failure to admit a series of minor issues which demonstrate a pattern of misconduct, or omission of information clearly related to the position sought, such as a performance discharge from the same type of job, a conviction for drug use when applying for a job in the medical field, etc. * Falsifying qualifications needed for the job. * Impersonation/collusion, altering scores, etc.

SUITABILITY FACTORS

[5CFR 731.202 (b)]

SUITABILITY FACTORS

GENERAL APPLICATIONS/DISCUSSION

<p>(4) REFUSAL TO FURNISH TESTIMONY as required by section 5.4</p>	<p>Per Civil Service Rule 5.4 (5 CFR, Part 5, Section 5.4), all competitive service applicants and employees are required to give OPM, MSPB, or the Special Counsel, or their authorized representatives, all information, testimony, documents, and material requested in regard to matters inquired of under the Civil Service laws, rules, and regulations, the disclosure of which is not otherwise prohibited by law or regulation.</p>
<p>(5) ALCOHOL ABUSE of a nature and duration which suggests that the applicant or appointee would be prevented from performing the duties of the position in question or would constitute a direct threat to the property or safety of others.</p>	<p>Current continuing abuse would ordinarily be disqualifying. Rehabilitation must be carefully considered (clear, lengthy break in pattern of abuse/strong evidence the abuse will not occur again).</p>
<p>(6) <u>ILLEGAL USE OF NARCOTICS DRUGS, OR OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES</u>, without evidence of substantial rehabilitation.</p>	<p>Current or recent use or possession of a serious nature would ordinarily be disqualifying. Rehabilitation claims must be clearly established. See comments for Alcohol abuse. Criminal conduct would also be an applicable factor to consider.</p>
<p>(7) <u>KNOWING AND WILFUL ENGAGEMENT IN ACTS OR ACTIVITIES DESIGNED TO OVERTHROW THE U.S. GOVERNMENT BY FORCE</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Must be an overt act. * Membership in organizations, alone, is not disqualifying.
<p>(8) Any <u>STATUTORY or REGULATORY BAR</u> which prevents the lawful employment of the person involved in the position in question.</p>	<p>Specific legal restrictions to employment.</p>

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

[5CFR 731.202 (c)]

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	DISCUSSION
The <u>Nature of the POSITION</u> for which the person is applying or in which the person is employed.	The more authority, responsibility, sensitivity and public trust associated with the position, the higher the risks involved and the more potential adverse impact there is to the efficiency and integrity of the service; thus the misconduct becomes more serious as a potentially disqualifying issue. However, certain kinds of conduct may result in disqualification regardless of the position.
(2) The <u>NATURE AND SERIOUSNESS</u> of the conduct.	The more serious the conduct, the greater the potential for disqualification.
(3) The <u>CIRCUMSTANCES</u> surrounding the conduct.	Full facts and circumstances are essential to insure justice to the person and to protect the interests of the Government.
(4) The <u>RECENCY</u> of the conduct.	The more recent the conduct is, the greater the potential for disqualification.
(5) The <u>AGE</u> of the person at the time of the conduct.	Offenses committed as a minor are treated as less serious than those committed as an adult, unless the offense is very recent, part of a pattern, or particularly heinous.
(6) Contributing <u>SOCIETAL CONDITIONS</u> .	Economic and cultural conditions might be a mitigating factor if the conditions are now removed. Generally considered in cases with relatively minor issues.
(7) The absense or presence of <u>REHABILITATION</u> or efforts toward rehabilitation.	Clear, affirmative evidence of rehabilitation is required for a favorable adjudication. Rehabilitation is a consideration in all cases, not just those involving alcohol and drug abuse. While formal counseling or treatment may be a consideration, other factors such as the individual's employment record, etc. May also be indications of rehabilitation.